

# Zeta and $L$ Functions



Jean-Pierre Serre

The purpose of this lecture is to give the general properties of zeta functions and Artin's  $L$  functions in the setting of *schemes*. I will restrict myself mainly to the formal side of the theory; for the connection with  $l$ -adic cohomology and Lefschetz's formula, see Tate's lecture.

## §1. ZETA FUNCTIONS

### 1.1. DIMENSION OF SCHEMES

All schemes considered below are supposed to be *of finite type over  $\mathbf{Z}$* . Such a scheme  $X$  has a well-defined *dimension* denoted by  $\dim X$ . It is the maximum length  $n$  of a chain

$$Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_n, \quad Z_i \neq Z_{i+1}$$

of closed irreducible subspaces of  $X$ . If  $X$  itself is irreducible, with

generic point  $x$ , and if  $k(x)$  is the corresponding residue field, one has:

$$\dim X = \text{Kronecker dimension of } k(x). \quad (1)$$

(The Kronecker dimension of a field  $E$  is the transcendence degree of  $E$  over the prime field, augmented by 1 if  $\text{char} E = 0$ .)

### 1.2. CLOSED POINTS

Let  $X$  be a scheme and let  $x \in X$ . The following properties are equivalent:

- a.  $\{x\}$  is closed in  $X$ .
- b. The residue field  $k(x)$  is finite.

The set of closed points of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\bar{X}$ ; we view it as a discrete topological space, equipped with the sheaf of fields  $k(x)$ ; we call  $\bar{X}$  the *atomization* of  $X$ . If  $x \in \bar{X}$ , the *norm*  $N(x)$  of  $x$  is the number of elements of  $k(x)$ .

### 1.3. ZETA FUNCTIONS

The zeta function of a scheme  $X$  is defined by the eulerian product

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_{x \in \bar{X}} \frac{1}{1 - 1/N(x)^s}. \quad (2)$$

It is easily seen that there are only a finite number of  $x \in \bar{X}$  with a given norm. This is enough to show that the above product is a *formal Dirichlet series*  $\sum a_n/n^s$ , with integral coefficients. In fact, that series converges, as the following theorem shows:

**Theorem 1.** The product  $\zeta(X, s)$  converges absolutely for

$$R(s) > \dim X.$$

(As usual,  $R(s)$  denotes the *real part* of  $s$ .)

**Lemma.** (a) Let  $X$  be a finite union of schemes  $X_i$ . If Theorem 1 is valid for each of the  $X_i$ 's, it is valid for  $X$ . (b) If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite morphism, and if Theorem 1 is valid for  $Y$ , it is valid for  $X$ .

Using this lemma (which is elementary) and induction on dimension, one reduces Theorem 1 to the case

$$X = \text{Spec } A[T_1, \dots, T_n],$$

where the ring  $A$  is either  $\mathbf{Z}$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . In the first case,  $\dim X = n + 1$ , and the product (2) gives (after collecting some terms together):

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{n-s}} = \zeta(s - n).$$

In the second case,  $\dim X = n$ , and  $\zeta(X, s) = 1/(1 - p^{n-s})$ . In both cases, we have absolute convergence for  $R(s) > \dim X$ .

#### 1.4. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF ZETA FUNCTIONS

One *conjectures* that  $\zeta(X, s)$  can be continued as a meromorphic function in the entire  $s$ -plane; this, at least, has been proved for many schemes. However, in the general case, one knows only the following much weaker:

**Theorem 2.**  $\zeta(X, s)$  can be continued analytically (as a meromorphic function) in the half-plane  $R(s) > \dim X - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The singularities of  $\zeta(X, s)$  in the strip

$$\dim X - \frac{1}{2} < R(s) \leq \dim X$$

are as follows:

**Theorem 3.** Assume  $X$  to be irreducible, and let  $E$  be the residue field of its generic point.

a. If  $\text{char} E = 0$ , the only pole of  $\zeta(X, s)$  in  $R(s) > \dim X - \frac{1}{2}$  is  $s = \dim X$ , and it is a simple pole.

b. If  $\text{char} E = p \neq 0$ , let  $q$  be the highest power of  $p$  such that  $E$  contains the field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . The only poles of  $\zeta(X, s)$  in  $R(s) > \dim X - \frac{1}{2}$  are the points

$$s = \dim X + \frac{2\pi in}{\log(q)}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

and they are simple poles.

**Corollary 1.** For any nonempty scheme  $X$ , the point  $s = \dim X$  is a pole of  $\zeta(X, s)$ . Its order is equal to the number of irreducible components of  $X$  of dimension equal to  $\dim X$ .

**Corollary 2.** The domain of convergence of the Dirichlet series  $\zeta(X, s)$  is the half-plane  $R(s) > \dim X$ .

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are deeper than Theorem 1. Their proof uses the "Riemann hypothesis for curves" of Weil [7] com-

bined with the technique of “fibering by curves” (i.e., maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  whose fibers are of dimension 1). One may also deduce them from the estimates of Lang-Weil [5] and Nisnevich [6].

**1.5. SOME PROPERTIES AND EXAMPLES**

$\zeta(X, s)$  depends only on the *atomization*  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$ . In particular, it does not change by radical morphism, and we have

$$\zeta(X_{\text{red}}, s) = \zeta(X, s). \tag{3}$$

If  $X$  is a disjoint union (which may be infinite) of subschemes  $X_i$ , we have

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod \zeta(X_i, s),$$

with absolute convergence for  $R(s) > \dim X$ . It is even enough that  $\bar{X}$  be the disjoint union of the  $\bar{X}_i$ 's. For instance, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism, we may take for  $X_i$ 's the fibers  $X_y = f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in \bar{Y}$ , and we get:

$$\zeta(X, s) = \prod_{y \in \bar{Y}} \zeta(X_y, s). \tag{4}$$

(This, with  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$ , was the original definition of Hasse-Weil.) Note that the  $X_y$ 's are schemes over the finite fields  $k(y)$ ; that is, they are “algebraic varieties.”

If  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is the ring of integers of a number field  $K$   $\zeta(X, s)$  coincides with the classical zeta function  $\zeta_K$  attached to  $K$ . For  $A = \mathbf{Z}$ , we get Riemann's zeta.

If  $\mathbf{A}^n(X)$  is the affine  $n$ -space over a scheme  $X$ , we have

$$\zeta(\mathbf{A}^n(X), s) = \zeta(X, s - n).$$

Similarly,

$$\zeta(\mathbf{P}^n(X), s) = \prod_{m=0}^{m=n} \zeta(X, s - m).$$

**1.6. SCHEMES OVER A FINITE FIELD**

Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . If  $x \in \bar{X}$ , the residue field  $k(x)$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ ; let  $\text{deg}(x)$  be its degree. We have

$$N(x) = q^{\text{deg}(x)},$$

and

$$\zeta(X, s) = Z(X, q^{-s}) \tag{5}$$

where  $Z(X, t)$  is the power series defined by the product:

$$Z(X, t) = \prod_{x \in \bar{X}} \frac{1}{1 - t^{\deg(x)}}. \quad (6)$$

The product (6) converges for  $|t| < q^{-\dim X}$ .

**Theorem 4 (Dwork).**  $Z(X, t)$  is a rational function of  $t$ .

(See [3] for the proof.)

In particular,  $\zeta(X, s)$  is meromorphic in the whole plane and periodic of period  $2\pi i / \log(q)$ .

There is another expression of  $Z(X, t)$  which is useful:

Let  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ , and denote by  $k_n$  the extension of  $k$  with degree  $n$ . Let  $X_n = X(k_n)$  be the set of points of  $X$  with value in  $k_n/k$ . Such a point  $P$  can be viewed as a *pair*  $(x, f)$ , with  $x \in \bar{X}$ , and where  $f$  is a  $k$ -isomorphism of  $k(x)$  into  $k_n$ . We have

$$\cup X_n = X(\bar{k}),$$

where  $\bar{k}$  is the algebraic closure of  $k$ .

It is easily seen that the  $X_n$ 's are *finite*. If we put:

$$\nu_n = \text{Card}(X_n),$$

we have

$$\log Z(X, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n t^n}{n}. \quad (7)$$

### 1.7. FROBENIUS

We keep the notations of 1.6. Let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the "Frobenius morphism" of  $X$  into itself (i.e.,  $F$  is the identity on the topological space  $X$ , and it acts on the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^q$ ). If we make  $F$  operate on  $X(\bar{k})$ , the *fixed points* of the  $n$ th iterate  $F^n$  of  $F$  are the *elements* of  $X_n$ . In particular, the number  $\nu_n$  is the number  $\Lambda(F^n)$  of *fixed points* of  $F^n$ . This remark, first made by Weil, is the starting point of his interpretation of  $\nu_n$  as a *trace*, in Lefschetz's style.

## §2. L FUNCTIONS

### 2.1 FINITE GROUPS ACTING ON A SCHEME

Let  $X$  be a scheme, let  $G$  be a finite group, and suppose that  $G$  acts on  $X$  on the right; we also assume that the quotient  $X/G = Y$

exists (i.e.,  $X$  is a union of affine open sets which are stable by  $G$ ). The atomization  $\bar{Y}$  of  $Y$  may be identified with  $\bar{X}/G$ . More precisely, let  $x \in \bar{X}$ , let  $y$  be its image in  $\bar{Y}$ , and let  $D(x)$  be the corresponding decomposition subgroup; we have  $g \in D(x)$  if and only if  $g$  leaves  $x$  fixed. There is a natural epimorphism

$$D(x) \rightarrow \text{Gal } k(x)/k(y).$$

Its kernel  $I(x)$  is called the *inertia subgroup* corresponding to  $x$ ; when  $I(x) = \{1\}$ , the morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  is *étale* at  $x$ .

Since  $D(x)/I(x)$  can be identified with  $\text{Gal}(k(x)/k(y))$ , it is a cyclic group, with a canonical generator  $F_x$ , called the *Frobenius element* of  $x$ .

### 2.2. ARTIN'S DEFINITION OF L FUNCTIONS

Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$  (i.e. a linear combination, with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , of irreducible complex characters). For each  $y \in \bar{Y}$ , and for each integer  $n$ , let  $\chi(y^n)$  be the mean value of  $\chi$  on the  $n$ th power  $F_x^n$  of the Frobenius element  $F_x \in D(x)/I(x)$ , where  $x \in \bar{X}$  is any lifting of  $y$ . Artin's definition of the  $L$  function  $L(X, \chi; s)$  is the following (cf. [1]):

$$\log L(X, \chi; s) = \sum_{y \in \bar{Y}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(y^n) N(y)^{-ns}}{n}. \tag{8}$$

When  $\chi$  is the character of a linear representation  $g \mapsto M(g)$ , we have

$$L(X, \chi; s) = \prod_{y \in \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{\det(1 - M(F_x)/N(y)^s)}, \tag{9}$$

where  $M(F_x)$  is again defined as the mean value of  $M(g)$ , for  $g \mapsto F_x$ .

Both expressions (8) and (9) converge absolutely when

$$R(s) > \dim X.$$

### 2.3. FORMAL PROPERTIES OF THE L FUNCTIONS

- a.  $L(X, \chi)$  depends on  $X$  only through its atomization  $\bar{X}$ .
- b.  $L(X, \chi + \chi') = L(X, \chi) \cdot L(X, \chi')$ .
- c. If  $\bar{X}$  is the disjoint union of the  $\bar{X}_i$ 's, with  $X_i$  stable by  $G$  for each  $i$ , we have

$$L(X, \chi; s) = \prod L(X_i, \chi; s)$$

with absolute convergence for  $R(s) > \dim X$ .

- d. Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a homomorphism, and let  $\pi_* X = X \times^G G'$  be the scheme deduced from  $X$  by "extension of the structural group." Let  $\chi'$  be a character of  $G'$ , and let  $\pi^* \chi' = \chi' \circ \pi$  be the corresponding character of  $G$ . We have

$$L(X, \pi^* \chi') = L(\pi_* X, \chi'). \quad (10)$$

- e. Let  $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism, and let  $\pi^* X$  denote the scheme  $X$  on which  $G'$  operates through  $\pi$ . Let  $\chi'$  be a character of  $G'$ , and let  $\pi_* \chi'$  be its direct image, which is a character of  $G$  (when  $G'$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\pi_* \chi'$  is the "induced character" of  $\chi'$ ). We have

$$L(X, \pi_* \chi') = L(\pi^* X, \chi'). \quad (11)$$

- f. Let  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n})$ ,  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ ,  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}/\mathbf{F}_q)$ , and  $\chi$  an irreducible character of  $G$ . We have

$$L(X, \chi; s) = \frac{1}{1 - \chi(F)q^{-s}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $F$  is the Frobenius element of  $G$ .

It is not hard to see that *properties (a) to (f) uniquely characterize the  $L$  functions.*

- g. If  $\chi = 1$  (unit character),  $L(X, 1) = \zeta(X/G)$ .  
 h. If  $\chi = r$  (character of the regular representation), we have

$$L(X, r) = \zeta(X).$$

By combining (h) and (b), one gets the following formula (which is one of the main reasons for introducing  $L$  functions):

$$\zeta(X) = \prod_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} L(X, \chi)^{\deg(\chi)}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denotes the set of *irreducible characters* of  $G$ , and  $\deg(\chi) = \chi(1)$ .

There is an analogous result for  $\zeta(X/H)$ , when  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ; one replaces the regular representation by the permutation representation of  $G/H$ .

#### 2.4. SCHEMES OVER A FINITE FIELD

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -scheme and assume that the operations of  $G$  are  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -automorphisms of  $X$ . The scheme  $Y = X/G$  is then also an  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -scheme.

On the set  $X(\bar{k})$ , we have two kinds of operators: the Frobenius endomorphism  $F$  (cf. 1.7) and the automorphisms defined by the elements of  $G$ ; if  $g \in G$ , we have  $F \circ g = g \circ F$ .

If we put as usual  $t = q^{-s}$ , we can transform  $L(X, \chi; s)$  into a function  $L(X, \chi; t)$  of  $t$ . An elementary calculation gives:

$$\log L(X, \chi; t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n(\chi) t^n / n, \quad (14)$$

with 
$$\nu_n(\chi) = \frac{1}{(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1}) \Lambda(gF^n), \quad (15)$$

where  $(G) = \text{Card}(G)$ , and  $\Lambda(gF^n)$  is the number of fixed points of  $gF^n$  (acting on  $X(\bar{k})$ ).

(These formulae could have been used to *define* the  $L$  functions; they make the verification of properties (a) to (f) very easy.)

*Remark.* It is not yet known that  $L(X, \chi; t)$  is a *rational function* of  $t$ . However, this is true in the following special cases:

- a. When  $X$  is projective and smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ : this follows from  $l$ -adic cohomology (Artin-Grothendieck).
- b. When Artin-Schreier or Kummer theory applies; that is, when  $G$  is cyclic of order  $p^N$ , or of order  $m$  prime to  $p$ , with  $m$  dividing  $q - 1$ . This can be proved by Dwork's method; the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  has been studied in some detail by Bombieri.

(Added in proof: The rationality of the  $L$  functions has now been proved by Grothendieck. See his Bourbaki's lecture, n° 279.)

### 2.5. ARTIN-SCHREIER EXTENSIONS

It would be easy—but too long—to give various examples of  $L$  functions, in particular for an abelian group  $G$ . I will limit myself to one such example:

Let  $Y$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -scheme, and let  $a$  be a section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ . In the affine line  $Y[T]$ , let  $X$  be the closed subscheme defined by the equation

$$T^p - T = a.$$

If we put  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , the group  $G$  acts on  $X$  by  $T \mapsto T + 1$ , and



$X/G = Y$ ; we get in this way an *étale covering*. Let  $w$  be a primitive  $p$ th root of unity in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and let  $\chi$  be the character of  $G$  defined by  $\chi(n) = w^n$ . The  $L$  function  $L(X, \chi; t)$  is given by formula (14); its coefficients  $\nu_n(\chi)$  can be written here in the following form:

$$\nu_n(\chi) = \sum_{y \in Y_n} w^{\text{Tr}_n a(y)}, \quad (16)$$

where  $Y_n = Y(k_n)$ , and  $\text{Tr}_n$  is the trace map from  $k_n = \mathbf{F}_{q^n}$  to  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . The above expression is a typical "exponential sum." If, for instance, we take for  $Y$  the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{G}_m$ , and put  $a = \lambda y + \mu y^{-1}$ , we get the so-called "Kloosterman sums." This connection between  $L$  functions and exponential sums was first noticed by Davenport-Hasse [2] and then used by Weil [8] to give estimates in the one-dimensional case.

## 2.6. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF $L$ FUNCTIONS

Theorems 2 and 3 have analogues for  $L$  functions. First:

**Theorem 5.**  $L(X, \chi; s)$  can be continued analytically (as a meromorphic function) in the half-plane  $R(s) > \dim X - \frac{1}{2}$ .

The singularities of  $L(X, \chi; s)$  in the critical strip

$$\dim X - \frac{1}{2} < R(s) \leq \dim X$$

can be determined, or rather reduced to the classical case  $\dim X = 1$ . We use the following variant of the "fiberings by curves" method:

**Lemma.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a morphism which commutes with the action of the group  $G$ . Assume that all geometric fibers of  $f$  are irreducible curves. Then

$$L(X, \chi; s) = H(s) \cdot L(X', \chi; s - 1), \quad (17)$$

where  $H(s)$  is holomorphic and  $\neq 0$  for  $R(s) > \dim X - \frac{1}{2}$ .

This lemma gives a reduction process to dimension 1 (and even to dimension 0 if  $X$  is a scheme over a finite field). The result obtained in this way is a bit involved, and I will just state a special case:

**Theorem 6.** Assume that  $X$  is irreducible, and that  $G$  operates faithfully on the residue field  $E$  of the generic point of  $X$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$ , and let  $\langle \chi, 1 \rangle$  be the multiplicity of the identity character 1 in  $\chi$ . The order of  $L(X, \chi)$  at  $s = \dim X$  is equal to  $-\langle \chi, 1 \rangle$ .

**Corollary.** If  $\chi$  is a non-trivial irreducible character,  $L(X, \chi)$  is holomorphic and  $\neq 0$  at the point  $s = \dim X$ .

### 2.7. ARTIN-ČEBOTAREV'S DENSITY THEOREM

Let  $Y$  be an irreducible scheme of dimension  $n \geq 1$ . By using the fact that  $\zeta(Y, s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = n$ , we get easily:

$$\sum_{y \in \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{N(y)^s} \sim \log \frac{1}{s - n} \quad \text{for } s \rightarrow n. \quad (18)$$

A subset  $M$  of  $\bar{Y}$  has a *Dirichlet density*  $m$  if we have

$$\left( \sum_{y \in M} \frac{1}{N(y)^s} \right) / \log \frac{1}{s - n} \rightarrow m \quad \text{for } s \rightarrow n. \quad (19)$$

For  $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$ , this is the usual definition of the Dirichlet density of a set of prime numbers.

Now let  $X$  verify the assumptions of Theorem 6, and let  $Y = X/G$ . Assume that  $\dim X \geq 1$  and that  $G$  operates freely (i.e.,  $I(x) = \{1\}$  for all  $x \in \bar{X}$ ). If  $y \in \bar{Y}$ , the Frobenius element  $F_x$  of a corresponding point  $x \in \bar{X}$  is a well defined element of  $\bar{G}$ , and its conjugation class  $F_y$  depends only on  $y$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $R \subset G$  be a subset of  $G$  stable by conjugation. The set  $\bar{Y}_R$  of elements  $y \in \bar{Y}$  such that  $F_y \subset R$  has Dirichlet density equal to  $\text{Card}(R)/\text{Card}(G)$ .

This follows by standard arguments from the corollary to Theorem 6.

**Corollary.**  $\bar{Y}_R$  is infinite if  $R \neq \emptyset$ .

*Remark.* A slightly more precise result has been obtained by Lang [4] for "geometric" coverings and also for coverings obtained by extension of the ground field.

## REFERENCES

1. Artin, E., Zur Theorie der  $L$ -Reihen mit allgemeinen Gruppencharakteren, *Abh. Hamb.*, **8**(1930), 292–306.
2. Davenport, H., and H. Hasse, Die Nullstellen der Kongruenzzetafunktionen im gewissen zyklischen Fällen. *Crelle Jour.*, **172**(1935), 151–182.
3. Dwork, B., On the rationality of the zeta function of an algebraic variety, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **82**(1960), 631–648.
4. Lang, S., Sur les séries  $L$  d'une variété algébrique, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **84**(1956), 385–407.
5. Lang, S., and A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields, *Amer. Jour. Math.*, **76**(1954), 819–827.
6. Nisnevič, L., Number of points of algebraic varieties over finite fields (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, **99**(1954), 17–20.
7. Weil, A., *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en déduisent*, *Act. Sci. Ind.*, n°. 1041, Paris, Hermann, 1948.
8. Weil, A., On some exponential sums, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **34**(1948), 204–207.
9. Weil, A., Number of solutions of equations in finite fields, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55**(1949), 497–508.